Dynamics of Forage Production in Pasture-woodlands of the Swiss Jura Mountains under Projected Climate Change Scenarios
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ABSTRACT. Silvopastoral systems of the Swiss Jura Mountains serve as a traditional source of forage and timber in the subalpine vegetation belt, but their vulnerability to land use and climate change puts their future sustainability at stake. We coupled experimental and modeling approaches to assess the impact of climate change on the pasture-woodland landscape. We drew conclusions on the resistance potential of wooded pastures with different management intensities by sampling along a canopy cover gradient. This gradient spanned from unwooded pastures associated with intensive farming to densely wooded pastures associated with extensive farming. Transplanted mesocosms of these ecosystems placed at warmer and drier conditions provided experimental evidence that climate change reduced herbaceous biomass production in unwooded pastures but had no effect in sparsely wooded pastures, and even stimulated productivity in densely wooded pastures. Through modeling these results with a spatially explicit model of wooded pastures (WoodPaM) modified for the current application, results were extrapolated to the local landscape under two regionalized Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change scenarios for climate change. This led to the suggestion that within the Jura pasture-woodlands, forage production in the near future (2000–2050 AD) would be affected disproportionately throughout the landscape. A stable forage supply in hot, dry years would be provided only by extensive and moderate farming, which allows the development of an insulating tree cover within grazed pastures. We conclude that such structural landscape diversity would grant wood-pastures with a buffering potential in the face of climate change in the forthcoming decades.
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INTRODUCTION

History and importance of pasture-woodlands

Pasture-woodlands are a traditional human-made landscape in European mountains (Etienne 1996) and cover a major part of the Swiss Jura Mountains. They consist of a mosaic of open grassland, closed forest, and semi-forested pastureland with scattered or clumped trees, and owe their shape to a long history of multifunctional land use, mainly pasturing and forestry (Buttler et al. 2009). Wood-pastures are true ecotones between closed forests and open grassland, and thus are more than just a simple interface between those two vegetation types. In such silvopastoral ecosystems, grasslands and woodlands are intimately associated in space and time as the result of a balance between counteracting ecological processes (Gillet et al. 2002, Gillet 2008). Forest encroachment and its suppression by browsing of livestock and extensive pasture management leads to a spatio-temporal heterogeneity of the landscape, defined by disturbance regimes, microclimate, and topography, and provides favorable conditions for high biodiversity (Gillet et al. 1999, Dufour et al. 2006).

At present, pasture-woodlands vary substantially in the amount and quality of ecosystem services they provide. Economically important factors such as forage supply are maintained higher through intensively managed treeless pastures than through extensive wood-pastures with free grazing livestock. This is important for local farmers who, from a socioeconomic view, are the main users of wooded pastures, and as such, may deliberately shape the landscape into an unwooded one. Apart from farming, in some regions, revenues generated from forestry activities may be substantial (Gillet and Gallandat 1996). The significance of this landscape for the tourist economy has also been acknowledged, though it remains difficult to measure (Miéville-Ott and Barbezat 2005).

The coexistence of pastureland and woodland in a single and diverse silvopastoral ecosystem is not easy to preserve and calls for integrated management schemes (Barbezat and Boquet 2008). Both intensification and extensification of the current land use may lead to a breakdown of this precarious association, resulting in a segregation of woodland and grassland (Buttler et al. 2009). Even though anthropogenic land use change has been considered to be a major threat for mountain ecosystems worldwide (Körner et al. 2006), little is known about its interaction with climate change in the future.

Climate change in the European mountains

Global warming and associated environmental changes are
predicted to have a strong impact on mountain ecosystems this century (IPCC 2007). The observed trend in Swiss mountains during the 20th century for a 1.5 K rise in mean annual air temperature (Beniston et al. 1997) is paralleled by increased instances of extreme summer temperature maxima and prolonged droughts (Schär et al. 2004, Beniston 2009). Throughout the current century these tendencies are predicted to become more pronounced, and northern hemisphere temperate mountains will experience the most intensive temperature rise with a rate of warming typically two to three times higher (range +2.8 K to +5.3 K) than that recorded over the 20th century (Nogues-Bravo et al. 2007). Alongside, predicted hot spells and a 30% diminishing precipitation during the growing season will intensify the dry periods in Central Europe (Beniston et al. 2007, CH2011). These will bring about changes in summer soil moisture availability, plant phenology, and growing season length, which would ultimately have repercussions on ecosystem distribution and function (Parry 2000, FOEN/FSO 2011).

Response of plant communities to climate change

Episodic extreme climate events, such as summer heat waves, have strong and distinct impacts at the landscape scale (Ciais et al. 2005, Reichstein et al. 2007, Teuling et al. 2010). A mechanistic understanding of primary ecological processes occurring in a heterogeneous landscape such as pasture-woodlands is therefore essential for efficient management. Currently, there is a wealth of literature, encompassing various experimental methodologies, about the effects of warmer and drier climate on pristine cold-adapted vegetation. Transplantation experiments along natural climatic gradients offer a powerful method for testing hypotheses about how species and communities are affected by future climatic changes. Although relatively few published studies have used this technique, some successful attempts at contrasting terrestrial environments have been carried out: in peatlands (Wieder and Yavitt 1994, Breeuwer et al. 2010), in boreal forests (Hobbs and Chapin 1998), in montane meadows (Brueelheide 2003), in subalpine grasslands (Sebastia 2007), and in alpine vegetation (Scheepens et al. 2010). The results from those studies, however, outline idiosyncratic patterns of plant community responses to environmental change. Concerning plant aboveground biomass, recent studies have reported an increase in annual herbaceous biomass production with warmer and drier climate (Brueelheide 2003, Sebastia 2007). Others (Harte and Shaw 1995, Zhang and Welker 1996), to the contrary, have observed no cumulative change in aboveground biomass. Drought alone has been found to exert a negative effect on plant biomass (Johnson et al. 2011). At the level of plant community composition, these studies fail to give a holistic picture of shifts in diversity resulting from a climate manipulation. Either graminoids or forbs have been shown to successfully dominate after a climatic perturbation. Opportunistic plants may be granted competitive advantage through advanced phenological development (Dunne et al. 2003, Körner 2003, Inouye 2008), or through utilization of newly available nutrient resources (Bowman et al. 2006, Soudzilovskaia et al. 2007). Other plants may benefit from their inherent tolerance of specific environmental stress (Buchner and Neuner 2003, Brock and Galen 2005). Overall, more fertile early successional grassland communities have been shown to be more responsive to climate warming and drought, compared to late successional ones, typically found in areas of low intensity management (Grime et al. 2000). Drought resistance and recovery potential of plant communities have also been attributed to high species richness (van Ruijven and Berendse 2010, Mariotte et al. 2013), whereas grazing of highland pastures has been shown to both promote herbaceous richness and reduce evapotranspiration loss and thus water consumption (Körner et al. 2006). In comparison to grasslands, forests exhibit more conservative water use, and hence cope better with long-lasting heat and drought stress (Teuling et al. 2010).

In light of these findings, and given the heterogeneous landscape structure and high biodiversity of pasture-woodlands, we suspect that the productivity of such a mosaic of forest and extensively grazed diverse mountain grassland communities could be robust to the effects of heat and drought.

Upscaling from experimental plots to landscape level

Inspired by the work of Dunne et al. (2004), which demonstrates the challenging but beneficial task of extrapolating experimental results on ecosystem response to climate change from the scale of plots to that of landscapes, in this study we coupled an experimental with a modeling approach. We believe that such combinations of observational, manipulative, and modeling techniques are highly adapted to assessing ecosystem vulnerability or resilience to environmental change (Spiegelberger et al. 2012).

To this aim, we established a transplantation experiment along an altitudinal gradient to derive the response of plant communities of open grassland, semi-wooded pastures, and grazed forests to climate manipulation (warming of up to +4 K). We built the results on biomass production into a dynamic simulation model of wood-pasture ecosystems in order to assess the impact of drought events on forage provision for livestock at the landscape level and in the proximate time frame of climate change projections (until 2050 AD).

Hypotheses

We hypothesized that (1) herbaceous aboveground biomass (AGB) production in characteristic plant communities from wood-pastures would be more stable under climate change than in those from treeless grasslands. Based on this hypothesis at plot level, we predicted (2) that landscape-scale forage production in wood-pastures would be more stable during projected heat wave periods than in intensively managed unwooded pastures. At last, (3) we put forward the hypothesis
that wooded pastures represent a more robust land use form than conventional treeless pastures, considering future climate change impacts.

METHODS

Study area and design of the warming experiment

The Combe des Amburnex in the Swiss Jura Mountains is a characteristic subalpine area of pasture-woodlands situated within the boundaries of the Park Jurasien Vaudois. The climate is predominantly oceanic with a mean annual rainfall of ca. 1750 mm at 1350 meters above sea level (m ASL) (including more than 450 mm of snow precipitation) and a mean annual temperature of 4.5°C. The ground is generally covered with snow from November to May.

In August 2009, three wooded pastures of similar area lying along the Combe des Amburnex at 1350 m ASL were chosen according to their tree canopy cover, which resulted from different intensity of land use. These were, in increasing order of management intensity, a densely wooded pasture, a sparsely wooded pasture, and an unwooded pasture. The pastures were situated within 1 km of each other, each measured about 2 ha, and all shared the same geomorphology, microtopography, and aspect. Within each of the three pasture types, 15 plots were randomly selected to represent the characteristic herbaceous vegetation of the respective area. To allow for a transplantation of soil turfs, plots were divided into 12 separate 20 cm × 20 cm and 30-cm deep soil cores and were assembled back into rectangular PVC boxes of 60 cm × 80 cm and 30 cm height. Chunks of intersected tree roots (diameter > 1 cm) were removed from the soil cores, and the remaining gaps were filled in with adjacent soil from the same horizon. The resulting 45 mesocosms were left in place until the end of the vegetation season to recover from the excavation, and then were transported to their receptor sites in October 2009. In total, three transplantation sites were established along an altitudinal transect: Combe des Amburnex (1350 m ASL, 46°54’ N, 6°23’ E), Saint-George (1010 m ASL, 46°52’ N, 6°26’ E), and Arboretum d’Aubonne (570 m ASL, 46°51’ N, 6°37’ E). The first site at 1350 m ASL served as a control site with unchanged climate. The site at 1010 m ASL was chosen to represent a combination of annual temperature increase of +4 K and a precipitation decrease of -40%. These preliminary approximations were derived from interpolated data from nearby weather stations. At each site, 15 mesocosms, thus representing five replicates of each pasture type and all originating from the Combe des Amburnex, were transplanted following a completely randomized design. The boxes were dug down to surface level into previously prepared trenches, thus preventing lateral heat exchange with the atmosphere. Mesocosms with turfs from sparsely wooded pastures and densely wooded pastures were shaded using two types of UV-resistant nylon mesh, which reduced photosynthetic active radiation by 40% and 80%, respectively, thus simulating field-measured light conditions in the corresponding habitats during the 2009 growing season (unpublished data). The mesh fabric was suspended on wooden frames 50 cm above the ground surface and did not intercept rain precipitation. Those remained in the field only during the snow-free period of the year in order to avoid interference with the snowpack. As a means of keeping the grazing pressure on the plots and avoiding a confounding effect of “abandonment” and accumulation of standing litter, plots were clipped close to ground level at the end of the vegetation growing season.

Herbaceous biomass was harvested at the end of July 2010 during the first year after turf transplantation, and served as an estimate of annual AGB production. At each plot, the vegetation within a fixed area of 35 cm × 35 cm was cut down to ca. 1 cm above the soil surface, determined to species level, dried at 70°C for 48 h, and weighed.

Climate parameters were monitored continuously throughout the experiment by means of one automated weather station (Sensor Scope Sàrl, Switzerland) per experimental site, which measured at a one-minute interval air temperature and humidity 2 m above the ground surface, as well as rain precipitation. ECH2O EC-TM sensor probes coupled to Em50 data-loggers (Decagon Devices, Inc., USA) recorded soil temperature and volumetric water content at topsoil horizon (0 to -3 cm) every minute, and data were averaged over one-hour intervals. Data presented here are given for the months of the 2010 growing season—April, May, June, July, August, and September.

Statistical analysis of experimental data

The experimental design allowed for the explanation of annual variation in herbaceous biomass during the first year after transplantation by four factors: two categorical ones—initial pasture type and altitude of the transplantation site, and two continuous physical ones—soil temperature and soil moisture. Each of the two edaphic variables was averaged per plot over the growing season (between respective soil thaw and day of harvest), thus integrating the microclimate conditions experienced by the plants. An ANCOVA model was fit through the raw data to test the significance of single factors and their two-way interactions. Assumptions of normality and homoscedasticity of the residuals were verified visually using diagnostic plots.

In order to extrapolate from experimental plot data, obtained under a distinct set of environmental conditions, to continuous site gradients in real landscapes, we built a linear regression model to predict the seasonal AGB production from the two continuous variables—tree cover percentage and degrees of temperature change. The experimental shading of the plots was related to tree cover as follows: 0% shading (light extinction under the canopy) for unwooded pasture with 0%
Table 1. Vegetation types of the herb layer and simulated environmental factors under which they emerge, as well as their pastoral value, from which a first estimate of biomass production is calculated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grazing intensity</th>
<th>Dunging intensity</th>
<th>Tree cover</th>
<th>Pastoral value PV†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eutrophic pasture</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligotrophic pasture</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallow</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understory</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† The pastoral value is based on vegetation surveys (Gillet and Gallandat 1996, Gillet 2002, 2008), except for understory. Here PV is artificially set to the value of eutrophic pasture, because the lower productivity of understory is expressed by a combined influence of drought and tree cover (see text).
Thus, the final yearly forage production in each cell, taking into account the slow prolongation of the vegetation growing period and yearly positive anomalies in the average temperature of the vegetation growing period, was computed as the product of $P$ and $D$.

$$D = \begin{cases} \frac{(55.670 - 3.291 \Delta T - 0.456 \text{TreeCover} + 0.070 \text{TreeCover} \Delta T)}{55.670}, & \text{for } \Delta T > 0 \\ 1, & \text{for } \Delta T \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

Consequently, simulated forage production per grid cell is a function of the successional state of the herb layer (pastoral value of vegetation types), the average length of the vegetation growing period during the last 50 years, and a drought impact in single hot years. Local grazing impacts at grid cell level depend on selective habitat use of cattle. In turn, the attractiveness of grid cells for cattle grazing depends on their forage provision and local site characteristics (i.e., steepness of terrain, rock outcrops). By this means, the feedback loop between grazing impacts and vegetation succession is closed, from which the spatio-temporal distribution of productivity of herb layer vegetation types emerges during simulations.

The ratio of consumed forage and forage production in a grid cell was expressed as local utilization rate (LUR) and was based on a daily forage consumption of 18 kg DM per livestock unit. The same ratio calculated at pasture level was termed global utilization rate (GUR).

For a further detailed description of the model structure and the implementation of herb layer and tree layer dynamics, as well as rules for selective grazing, refer to Gillet (2008). Recent work has shown that grasslands are dominated by perennial species and species immigration is slow.
model refinements beyond this model description are given in Peringer et al. (2013).

Design of simulations
We simulated forage production under observed and projected climate in three separate wooded pastures in the region of Bullet, Switzerland. The pastures are located in the same landscape of the Swiss Jura Mountains as the experimental site, ca. 40 km NE along the mountain crest. These pastures are adjacent to one other (Fig. 1); hence, they share identical climate but differ in grazing intensity and consequently vegetation structure. Les Planets Ouest (1200 m ASL, 46° 83’ N, 6° 55’ E) is an intensively used commonage with 46 livestock units (LU) on 25.6 ha, resulting in 1.79 LU/ha for 170 days/year (see also Chetelat et al. 2013). This pasture is practically treeless, and for the purpose of this study, is referred to as Intensive Wooded Pasture (IWP). Les Planets Milieu Est (1200 m ASL, 46° 84’ N, 6° 55’ E) is mostly open grassland but features some sparsely wooded pasture far in the northwest. It has a moderate stocking density of 22 LU on 14.1 ha, resulting in 1.56 LU/ha for 135 days/year, and is referred to as Moderate Wooded Pasture (MWP). Les Cluds Sud (1200 m ASL, 46° 84’ N, 6° 56’ E) is a mosaic pasture with several patches of woodland and is extensively grazed with 23 LU on 23.3 ha, resulting in 0.99 LU/ha for 153 days/year. It is referred to as Extensive Wooded Pasture (EWP). Although ongoing socioeconomic developments will likely lead to reduced stocking of wooded-pastures (Huber et al. 2013), for the purpose of this study, we simulated constant stocking densities.

Time series of temperature and precipitation were derived from the regionalization of observed climate in the period 1950–2000 AD and two climate change scenarios from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Special Report Emissions Scenarios (IPCC-SRES 2000) for the period 2000–2100 AD, as provided by the Climatic Research Unit CRU of the University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK and the Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research (regionalization by D. Schmatz, WSL-Switzerland, personal communication). We selected the moderate scenario B2 with +4 K warming and the extreme scenario A1FI with +8 K warming, assuming that a realistic future development will lie within the range between the two scenarios. The corresponding yearly mean temperatures are displayed in Fig. 2, together with the yearly mean temperatures of the vegetation growing period. The latter drive drought impact during simulations, whereas the average temperature of the last 50 years drives productivity increase. We initialized the model from aerial photographs taken in 2000 AD and applied a 50-year spin-up period: 1950–2000 AD. Simulations run from 2000 on for a period of 50 years.

RESULTS
Effects of increased temperature on aboveground biomass production at plot level
Transplantation downslope exposed the plant communities in the experimental plots to an (expected) increase in ambient air temperature and reduced precipitation during the vegetation growing period (Table 2). Following this treatment, soil temperature generally increased, while soil moisture decreased (Table 2). Along the gradient in simulated tree cover, at each site soil temperature decreased almost linearly with approximately 1 K for each level of canopy shading (0%, 40%, and 80%). Shading also prevented soil water evaporation at lower altitudes compared to the control site. In the case of densely wooded pastures, soils kept up to 70% of their moisture content at 570 m ASL and 96% at 1010 m ASL.

Results from the ANCOVA model indicated that AGB production along the transplantation gradient was significantly affected by pasture type \( (P_{0.05} < 0.001) \), and its interaction with the altitude of the transplantation site \( (P_{0.05} < 0.001) \). Furthermore, it was significantly affected by soil temperature \( (P_{0.1} = 0.016) \) and soil moisture \( (P_{0.1} = 0.008) \). AGB decreased significantly along the land use intensity gradient in the order unwooded > sparsely wooded > densely wooded pastures (Fig. 3). These differences were most evident at the control site at 1350 m ASL but were weakened at warmer

<p>| Table 2. Microclimate data overview for the plant growing season (AMJJAS) of 2010. Presented are mean parameter values for each altitude and pasture type. |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Altitude [m a.s.l.]</th>
<th>Air temperature [°C]</th>
<th>Air humidity [%]</th>
<th>Precipitation [mm]</th>
<th>Pasture type</th>
<th>Soil temperature [°C]</th>
<th>Soil moisture [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1350</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>707.6</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>11.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>42.9 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1010</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>599.7</td>
<td>WP</td>
<td>14.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>41.3 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>570</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>524.6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>17.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>25.7 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Pasture type codes, where P refers to unwooded pasture with 0% canopy shading, WP – sparsely wooded pasture with 40% canopy shading, and F – densely wooded pasture with 80% canopy shading.
‡ Reported values for soil temperature and moisture are means and standard errors for five replicate plots.
climate where AGB production was stimulated in densely wooded pastures, reduced in unwooded pastures, and remained unchanged in sparsely wooded pastures (see Fig. 3, and the statistically significant interaction between pasture type and altitude). The transplantation altitude alone had no consistent effect on AGB across the pasture types.

**Fig. 3.** Change in aboveground biomass production in relation to (a) soil moisture and (b) soil temperature in unwooded pastures (circles), sparsely wooded pastures (squares), and densely wooded pastures (triangles). Altitudes of experimental plots were 570 m ASL (black symbols), 1010 m ASL (grey symbols), and 1350 m ASL (empty symbols).

Fitting a linear regression model through the biomass data allowed us to estimate the influence of land use and climate parameters on pasture productivity. The resulting model \((R^2 = 0.85, P_{3,41} < 0.001)\) is given in Eq. 2:

\[
AGB = 55.670 - 3.291 \Delta T - 0.456 \text{TreeCover} + 0.070 \text{TreeCover} \Delta T
\]  

(2)

This equation expresses the production of herbaceous biomass, AGB, as a function of percent tree cover (TreeCover), air temperature anomaly (\(\Delta T\)), and their interaction. All parameter estimates, including the model’s intercept, were highly significant \((P_{3,41} < 0.001)\), and hence were incorporated into the WoodPaM model in the form of a factor applied to the first estimate of forage production in the grassland-forest mosaic (Methods: Spatially explicit simulation model).

**Effects of temperature anomalies on forage production at landscape level**

Simulated time series of GUR followed distinct trajectories according to management intensity within each of the studied pastures (Fig. 4). Most obvious was the trivial effect of a higher utilization rate with higher stocking density, which led to well-separated curves for each pasture. The spin-up period ended around year 1980, and realistic utilization rates were simulated for extensive (EWP: ~70%), moderate (MWP: ~80%), and intense pastures (IWP: ~100%). A utilization rate of 100% represents an optimal stocking density in an economic sense because all available forage is consumed. A utilization rate less than 100% indicates the presence of undergrazed patches, which are typical in extensively grazed pastures, and provides niches where shrubs and woods can develop and form the specific landscape mosaic of pasture-woodlands.

**Fig. 4.** Global utilization rates (GUR) of produced forage for the three pastures and the two Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Special Report Emissions Scenarios (IPCC-SRES 2000) climate change scenarios: (a) B2 and (b) A1FI. Pastures are Les Cluds Sud (EWP: light grey curve), Les Planets Milieu Est (MWP: dark grey curve), and Les Planets Ouest (IWP: black curve).

From year 2000 onwards, utilization rates did not decrease, as one would expect from the increase in productivity following the prolongation of the vegetation growing period with climate change (Fig. 2). The rapid temperature rise and subsequent increase in simulated drought due to an increasing number of years with hot summers compensated for this effect. In the course of time, the utilization rates of IWP and MWP started to fluctuate with increasing amplitude, and IWP peak values passed above the threshold of 100%. To the contrary, the
utilization rate of EWP always remained below 100% and fluctuations were far smaller. For the drastic warming scenario A1FI (+8 K), such effects were even more pronounced, especially in the projected heat wave in simulation years 2042–2047.

Overall, for current stocking densities, simulations of extensive pastures showed a continuous provision of sufficient forage, while in intense pastures, scarcity of forage was indicated. The stable forage provision in EWP (i.e., low amplitude of projected GUR in Fig. 4) might appear trivial due to intrinsically low stocking densities in this pasture. Nevertheless, the stimulated AGB production in its forested landscape patches did provide a quantitative buffering capacity against heat waves. Such a contribution of landscape heterogeneity to ecosystem process resilience is exemplified through the comparison of a pair of simulated years with hot (2021) and cool (2022) temperatures from the simulation continuum of IPCC-SRES climate change scenario B2 (Fig. 2). We chose two consecutive years in order to compare a quasi identical landscape structure. Within the treeless IWP in the hot year 2021, forage production decreased with drought causing an increase of 12.5% in GUR (Table 3, Fig. 5). Similar drought impacts on forage production were recognizable within the grasslands of MWP and EWP (Fig. 5); however, the utilization rate in EWP, which is a true forest-grassland mosaic, increased by only 6.7% in GUR (Table 3). An additional mathematical correction for the potential bias of extensive pastures being less prone to overshooting GUR levels in response to forage scarcity was implemented by dividing GUR values for a given year by the long-term average GUR. The corrected differences in GUR values between the two years remained higher in IWP and MWP (11.9 and 12.4, respectively) compared to those in EWP (9.5), which indicated the simulated buffering potential of wood-pastures (Table 3).

### Table 3. Simulated impact of drought on global utilization rates (GUR) in the three studied wood-pastures. An arbitrarily comparison of a hot year (2021, growing season mean air temperature 11.3 °C) to a normal year (2022, growing season mean air temperature 9.6 °C) under the B2 IPCC-SRES scenario. Long-term (1961-2010) average of the growing season temperature is 9.0°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GUR of EWP (Les Cluds Sud) [%]</th>
<th>GUR of MWP (Les Planets Milieu Est) [%]</th>
<th>GUR of IWP (Les Planets Ouest) [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simulation year 2021</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>110.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation year 2022</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>97.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ GUR between years 2021 and 2022</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average GUR in the period 1980-2000</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>104.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ GUR between years 2021 and 2022 corrected† for average GUR</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Dividing the simulated GUR by the average GUR corrects for the trivial effect of lower drought impacts in extensive pastures.

#### Fig. 5. Output maps of forage production and local utilization rate (percentage consumed forage) in two arbitrarily chosen years—a hot one (2021) and a cool one (2022), based the moderate climate change scenario B2 with contrasting average temperatures in the vegetation period (Δ 1.5 K). Darker tones indicate higher values of the respective parameters.

**DISCUSSION**

**Distinct effects of climate change on herbaceous production across land use types**

Our experimental study was novel for directly comparing climate change impacts on several neighboring grasslands that were experiencing different intensities of management, which were manifested by a gradient of forest canopy cover and herbaceous species composition. The results unequivocally
displayed the decline in herbaceous productivity in open pastures in contrast to stable and even increasing productivity in forested pastures under warmer climate. This pattern became apparent after only one year of experimental treatment, which emphasizes the importance of stochastic heat waves for the functioning of pasture-woodland ecosystems. As a result of the transplantation treatment, open pastures experienced disproportionately stronger drought effects than the two wood-pasture types, and this was driven mainly by decreased soil moisture availability. Even though our simplistic design for shading of the mesocosms certainly did not encompass all the microclimate effects of a real tree canopy (i.e., ambient humidity, wind interception, canopy leachate, litter deposition, or underground competition for resources), we believe our results on herbaceous production are robust due to the appropriate use of control plots and the overall short time span of the treatment, which prevented accumulation of confounding carry-over effects.

One of the most prominent factors driving the reduction in AGB was the decrease in soil moisture availability during the plant growing season. Whereas soil temperature increased linearly with warmer climate for all pasture types, soil humidity was affected mainly in the unwooded pasture plots, which was paralleled by a decrease in standing plant AGB. Moreover, most of this AGB had already senesced shortly after the peak growing season due to drought (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index measurements, unpublished data). This phenomenon arose from intensified evapotranspiration in open-canopy plots in comparison to the shaded ones, where the mesh covers had adequately served their “forest-like” purpose in limiting energy exchange with the atmosphere during the hot summer months (Teuling et al. 2010).

An alternative explanation could be that intensive farming (Körner et al. 2006), coupled with high fertilization levels and impeded successional development (Grime et al. 2000), played a role in rendering intensively managed open pastures more vulnerable to climate change. One could speculate that those grassland communities, composed of fast-growing species with access to high nutrient availability, are more responsive to environmental change. This scenario, however, was not explicitly tested in our experimental design (no fertilization manipulations) and remains only hypothetical. Moreover, we consider unwooded pastures to be intensively managed, but more so in relative terms in comparison to wooded ones, since they are generally subalpine pastures rather than farming fields.

**Landscape patterns of forage provision under climate change**

Our approach to incorporating experimental results into a process-based simulation model of wooded pastures merits more than a simple extrapolation across spatial scales (from plot to landscape levels). Since biomass production of grasslands forms represents the base of WoodPaM simulations, climate change impacts on primary production mechanistically determine forage availability for cattle, which in turn shapes landscape structure via selective grazing behavior (Kohler et al. 2006). Regardless of the limitations of our experimental design, which did not account for plausible interaction between precipitation and temperature, we do not expect a qualitative bias in our interpretations. Our confidence comes from the locally observed and predicted strong negative correlation between these two climatic factors; hence, we included only temperature in our predictive model for AGB production. Even though we based our choice of transplantation gradient on both current weather observations and climate predictions, we could not account for ecosystem responses to future warm and wet, or cold and dry plant growing seasons.

While other studies of climate change impacts on wooded pastures rely mainly on the climate sensitivity of tree species (Peringer et al. 2013), ours focused on the functioning of grasslands. The design of simulations encompassed a much shorter time frame from 2000 to 2050 AD—a period short enough to detect climatic stress while assuming no plant species adaptation (development of drought-adapted ecotypes), or significant community change through immigration. Even though this assumption may appear unrealistic for the last decades of simulation, we considered three reasons for it: (1) there is no simple way to implement evolutionary and dispersal processes for grassland species in the model; (2) species shift from lowland following climate change is probably very slow in this area due to forest barriers to the dispersal of herbaceous species; and (3) phenotypic plasticity and genetic polymorphism of established plant populations is likely to allow yet some adaptation to drought stress due to the long history (ecological continuity) of these mountain pastures. Furthermore, this period is too short to produce any substantial shift in the tree layer and thus the landscape structure (see Peringer et al. [2013] for long-term dynamics of climate change). Nevertheless, one should bear in mind that, in the long run, impacts of climate change on grassland productivity could produce feedback on landscape structure due to adaptive grazing behavior of cattle (Smit et al. 2007, Vandenbergh et al. 2007, Vandenbergh et al. 2009). In hot years, depleted forage resources in open pastures would provoke cattle grazing in the forest understory, where drought impacts were found to be smaller and forage would still be available. Forest regeneration would hence be put at stake and landscape structural dynamics could be expected to shift towards a more open landscape. Such a development would counteract projected forest encroachment and canopy thickening (Peringer et al. 2013), thus rendering a stable forage provision in the far future.

Our simulations showed that in extensive grazing systems, it was not only the generally lower stocking density but also the
resulting grassland-forest mosaic that contributed to the robust provision of forage. The observed stable AGB production in sparsely wooded pastures, and increasing production in densely wooded pastures in the face of warming and drought, were in sharp contrast with the decreased AGB in unwooded pastures. Consequently, the apparent advantage in terms of productivity of unwooded pasture diminished with drought, and wooded pastures became a forage source of similar importance. In view of the relatively large area that sparsely and densely wooded pastures cover in extensively stocked pastures, such formerly unproductive patches showed a clear potential to compensate for the breakdown of productivity within open grasslands.

Given that mosaic emergence is a process that occurs over centuries (Gillet 2008, Peringer et al. 2013), such resilience of the system is a precious good because it is rapidly destroyed but slowly re-established. Chételat et al. (2013) show that landscape transformations of such great magnitude could result, for example, from higher wood and food demands (for example, during war time) and from natural events (storms, droughts, bark beetle outbursts). Similar conclusions about the beneficial role of tree canopy on understory microclimate during drought have been made for agroforestry systems (Powell and Bork 2006). Across the landscape, spatial variation itself stimulates ecosystem resilience and resistance to drought. Godfree et al. (2011) show that naturally occurring extreme climatic events such as drought can be mitigated through the protective role of heterogeneous environments. This concept is shared by Foley et al. (2005) who advocate the maintenance of a diverse portfolio of ecosystem services by a single ecosystem, such that sustainable land use strategies could be implemented for both short- and long-term needs. We show that in the case of subalpine wooded pastures, this can be accomplished through the preservation of the mosaic landscape. It is, nevertheless, acknowledged that decisions about the future of cultural landscapes (i.e., wood-pastures) come from stakeholders rather than scientists, and that such a stability requires both maintenance of historically established agricultural practices (Küster 2004) and adaptive management, including change in policies (Huber et al. 2013). Either courses of intensification or abandonment would place the ecosystem’s stability at stake and disrupt the delivery of its prime ecosystem services.

CONCLUSION
In summary, different grassland types within the pasture-woodland landscape of the Swiss Jura Mountains were shown to exhibit a strictly nonuniform response to climate change in terms of herbaceous forage provision. The mosaic patchiness of the landscape would be a valuable asset in the face of climate warming, and its inherent diversity may hold the key to sustainable land use management. Presented were empirical and modeling evidence that wood-pastures may provide forage for livestock in a robust way by buffering impacts of climate change for the next decades. We believe that this property of the landscape should hence be credited in order to avoid any future landscape segregation and associated economic and cultural impacts.

Responses to this article can be read online at: http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/issues/responses.php/4974
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